1

2

\*\*E-Filed 12/5/08\*\*

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

INICOM NETWORKS, INC., Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NVIDIA CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case Number C 08-4332 JF (PVT)

ORDER VACATING HEARING ON MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

[Re: Docket No. 32]

On December 2, 2008, Defendant NVIDIA Corporation ("NVIDIA") filed a motion to consolidate six actions currently pending in this district<sup>1</sup> and two actions expected to be transferred to this district pursuant to the stipulation of the parties.<sup>2</sup> NVIDIA noticed its motion for hearing on January 23, 2009 before the undersigned judge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nakash v. NVIDIA Corp., Case No. C 08-4312 JW (HRL); Inicom Networks, Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp., et al., Case No. C 08-4332 JF (PVT); Feinstein v. NVIDIA Corp., Case No. 08-4596 RS; Cormier v. NVIDIA Corp., Case No. C 08-5082 HRL; National Business Officers Ass'n, Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp., et al., Case No. C 08-5179 HRL; and West v. NVIDIA Corp., Case No. C 08-5217 PVT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Olivos v. NVIDIA Corp., et al., Case No. C 08-3895 LDW (ETB) (E.D.N.Y.); and Sielicki v. NVIDIA Corp., et al., Case No. C 08-802 SS (W.D. Tex.).

Under this Court's Civil Local Rules, an application to relate one or more cases must be submitted to the judge assigned to the earliest filed (or "low number") case. Civ. L. R. 3-12(b). The Civil Local Rules do not expressly address motions to consolidate; however, as a matter of practice, the judge assigned to the low number case considers the issue of consolidation in conjunction with the issue of related case status. Here, the earliest filed case is *Nakash v. NVIDIA Corp.*, Case No. C 08-4312 JW (HRL), assigned to Judge James Ware. Accordingly, NVIDIA's motion to consolidate should have been filed in *Nakash* for determination by Judge Ware.

In its moving papers, NVIDIA acknowledges that Case No. C 08-4312 JW (HRL) is the low number case, but requests an exception to the practice described above on the ground that the undersigned judge would move the case(s) along more rapidly than would Judge Ware. NVIDIA represents that while Court staff has indicated that the undersigned judge would schedule any appropriate motions for hearing in mid-January 2009, Judge Ware would not schedule such motions until March 2009.

While judges certainly may agree to the reassignment of a case or cases notwithstanding the Court's ordinary practice, Judge Ware's orders issued on December 3, 2008 make clear that he is not be amenable to such reassignment here, at least at the present time. In his Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part Motions To Relate Cases, filed in the instant case as docket number 37, Judge Ware expresses concern that the parties may be judge-shopping, and states expressly that he will not transfer any of the subject cases to the undersigned judge. That order also expressly addresses the issues of related case status and consolidation that are raised in the instant motion. A Related Case Order, filed in the instant case as docket number 38, reiterates Judge Ware's conclusions regarding the related case issue. In light of Judge Ware's orders, the undersigned will vacate the hearing on NVIDIA's motion to consolidate, and will direct the parties to raise any and all issues of related case status and consolidation before Judge Ware.

## Case 5:08-cv-04332-JW Document 40 Filed 12/05/08 Page 3 of 4 **ORDER** The hearing on Defendant NVIDIA's motion to consolidate cases is VACATED; (1) The parties shall direct any future motions regarding related case status or (2) consolidation to Judge Ware; and The instant case, which has not been related to or consolidated with the matters (3) pending before Judge Ware, remains set for a Case Management Conference before the undersigned judge on January 30, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. DATED: 12/5/08 JEREMY FOGEL United States District Judge Case No. C 08-4332 JF (PVT)

## Case 5:08-cv-04332-JW Document 40 Filed 12/05/08 Page 4 of 4

1	Copies of Order served on:
2	
3	National Business Owners Association, Inc. alreenh@zhlaw.com
4	James Neil Kramer jkramer@orrick.com
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	Jeffrey Jay Lederman jlederman@winston.com, docketsf@winston.com, dpenning@winston.com
7	John Allen Lowther, IV john@doylelowther.com
8	Joshua Daniel Watts jwatts@orrick.com
9	Kimball R. Anderson@winston.com
10	Meredith Ann Galto mgalto@morganlewis.com
11	Nicholas Koluncich , III nkoluncich@newmexicoclassactions.com
12	Robert A. Particelli@morganlewis.com
13	Robert P. Varian rvarian@orrick.com, bclarke@orrick.com
14	William James Doyle , II bill@doylelowther.com
15 16	Kristofor T. Henning Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market St.
17	Philadelphia, PA 19103
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 Case No. C 08-4332 JF (PVT)